

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO
17808

First edition
2014-07-01

Space data and information transfer systems — Telemetry (TM) channel coding profiles

*Systèmes de transfert des données et informations spatiales — Profils
de codage de canal pour télémesure (TM)*



Reference number
ISO 17808:2014(E)

© ISO 2014

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2014

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [Foreword - Supplementary information](#)

ISO 17808 was prepared by the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) (as CCSDS 131.4-M-1, July 2011) and was adopted (without modifications except those stated in Clause 2 of this International Standard) by Technical Committee ISO/TC 20, *Aircraft and space vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 13, *Space data and information transfer systems*.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014

Space data and information transfer systems — Telemetry (TM) channel coding profiles

1 Scope

This International Standard presents recommendations regarding the usage of coding schemes described in references [1]-[2] in the various mission profiles that are encountered in space research, space operations, and Earth exploration.

Within this document, it is assumed that at the sending end the Synchronization and Channel Coding sublayer

- accepts at a constant rate transfer frames of fixed length from the Data Link protocol sublayer;
- performs the encoding and synchronization functions selected for the mission; and
- delivers a continuous and contiguous stream of channel symbols to the Physical layer.

At the receiving end, the Synchronization and Channel Coding sublayer:

- accepts a continuous and contiguous stream of channel symbols from the Physical layer;
- performs the synchronization and decoding functions selected for the mission;

NOTE The decoding functions include validation of frames to determine their quality with respect to the possible presence of undetected errors.

- delivers transfer frames to the Data Link protocol sublayer.

Profiles for Earth-to-space and Proximity links are out of scope and are not addressed in this document. Communication profiles for space-to-Earth links that are currently not supported by CCSDS, e.g. via data relay satellites, are not addressed in this document.

2 Requirements

Requirements are the technical recommendations made in the following publication (reproduced on the following pages), which is adopted as an International Standard:

CCSDS 131.4-M-1, July 2011, TM Channel Coding Profiles

For the purposes of international standardization, the modifications outlined below shall apply to the specific clauses and paragraphs of publication CCSDS 131.4-M-1.

Pages i to vi

This part is information which is relevant to the CCSDS publication only.

Pages 1-2

Add the following information to the reference indicated:

[1] Document CCSDS 131.0-B-2, August 2011, is equivalent to ISO 22641:2012.

3 Revision of publication CCSDS 131.4-M-1

It has been agreed with the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems that Subcommittee ISO/TC 20/SC 13 will be consulted in the event of any revision or amendment of publication CCSDS 131.4-M-1. To this end, NASA will act as a liaison body between CCSDS and ISO.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014



The Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems

Recommendation for Space Data System Practices

TM CHANNEL CODING PROFILES

RECOMMENDED PRACTICE

CCSDS 131.4-M-1

MAGENTA BOOK

July 2011

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014

AUTHORITY

Issue:	Recommended Practice, Issue 1
Date:	July 2011
Location:	Washington, DC, USA

This document has been approved for publication by the Management Council of the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) and represents the consensus technical agreement of the participating CCSDS Member Agencies. The procedure for review and authorization of CCSDS documents is detailed in the *Procedures Manual for the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems*, and the record of Agency participation in the authorization of this document can be obtained from the CCSDS Secretariat at the address below.

This document is published and maintained by:

CCSDS Secretariat
Space Communications and Navigation Office, 7L70
Space Operations Mission Directorate
NASA Headquarters
Washington, DC 20546-0001, USA

STATEMENT OF INTENT

The Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) is an organization officially established by the management of its members. The Committee meets periodically to address data systems problems that are common to all participants, and to formulate sound technical solutions to these problems. Inasmuch as participation in the CCSDS is completely voluntary, the results of Committee actions are termed **Recommendations** and are not in themselves considered binding on any Agency.

CCSDS Recommendations take two forms: **Recommended Standards** that are prescriptive and are the formal vehicles by which CCSDS Agencies create the standards that specify how elements of their space mission support infrastructure shall operate and interoperate with others; and **Recommended Practices** that are more descriptive in nature and are intended to provide general guidance about how to approach a particular problem associated with space mission support. This **Recommended Practice** is issued by, and represents the consensus of, the CCSDS members. Endorsement of this **Recommended Practice** is entirely voluntary and does not imply a commitment by any Agency or organization to implement its recommendations in a prescriptive sense.

No later than five years from its date of issuance, this **Recommended Practice** will be reviewed by the CCSDS to determine whether it should: (1) remain in effect without change; (2) be changed to reflect the impact of new technologies, new requirements, or new directions; or (3) be retired or canceled.

In those instances when a new version of a **Recommended Practice** is issued, existing CCSDS-related member Practices and implementations are not negated or deemed to be non-CCSDS compatible. It is the responsibility of each member to determine when such Practices or implementations are to be modified. Each member is, however, strongly encouraged to direct planning for its new Practices and implementations towards the later version of the Recommended Practice.

FOREWORD

Through the process of normal evolution, it is expected that expansion, deletion, or modification of this document may occur. This Recommended Practice is therefore subject to CCSDS document management and change control procedures, which are defined in the *Procedures Manual for the Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems*. Current versions of CCSDS documents are maintained at the CCSDS Web site:

<http://www.ccsds.org/>

Questions relating to the contents or status of this document should be addressed to the CCSDS Secretariat at the address indicated on page i.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014

At time of publication, the active Member and Observer Agencies of the CCSDS were:

Member Agencies

- Agenzia Spaziale Italiana (ASI)/Italy.
- Canadian Space Agency (CSA)/Canada.
- Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES)/France.
- China National Space Administration (CNSA)/People's Republic of China.
- Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. (DLR)/Germany.
- European Space Agency (ESA)/Europe.
- Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE)/Brazil.
- Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA)/Japan.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)/USA.
- Space Agency (FSA)/Russian Federation.
- UK Space Agency/United Kingdom.

Observer Agencies

- Austrian Space Agency (ASA)/Austria.
- Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (BFSPPO)/Belgium.
- Central Research Institute of Machine Building (TsNIIMash)/Russian Federation.
- China Satellite Launch and Tracking Control General, Beijing Institute of Tracking and Telecommunications Technology (CLTC/BITT)/China.
- Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)/China.
- Chinese Academy of Space Technology (CAST)/China.
- Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO)/Australia.
- CSIR Satellite Applications Centre (CSIR)/Republic of South Africa.
- Danish National Space Center (DNSC)/Denmark.
- Departamento de Ciência e Tecnologia Aeroespacial (DCTA)/Brazil.
- European Organization for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT)/Europe.
- European Telecommunications Satellite Organization (EUTELSAT)/Europe.
- Geo-Informatics and Space Technology Development Agency (GISTDA)/Thailand.
- Hellenic National Space Committee (HNSC)/Greece.
- Indian Space Research Organization (ISRO)/India.
- Institute of Space Research (IKI)/Russian Federation.
- KFKI Research Institute for Particle & Nuclear Physics (KFKI)/Hungary.
- Korea Aerospace Research Institute (KARI)/Korea.
- Ministry of Communications (MOC)/Israel.
- National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT)/Japan.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)/USA.
- National Space Agency of the Republic of Kazakhstan (NSARK)/Kazakhstan.
- National Space Organization (NSPO)/Chinese Taipei.
- Naval Center for Space Technology (NCST)/USA.
- Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK)/Turkey.
- Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Commission (SUPARCO)/Pakistan.
- Swedish Space Corporation (SSC)/Sweden.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS)/USA.

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Document	Title	Date	Status
CCSDS 131.4-M-1	TM Channel Coding Profiles, Recommended Practice, Issue 1	July 2011	Current issue

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 PURPOSE.....	1-1
1.2 SCOPE.....	1-1
1.3 RATIONALE.....	1-1
1.4 REFERENCES	1-2
2 OVERVIEW	2-1
2.1 GENERAL.....	2-1
2.2 SPACE RESEARCH NEAR EARTH MISSIONS	2-1
2.3 SPACE RESEARCH DEEP SPACE MISSIONS	2-2
2.4 EARTH EXPLORATION MISSIONS	2-2
3 TM CODING PROFILES	3-1
3.1 GENERAL.....	3-1
3.2 CODING SCHEME SELECTION	3-1
ANNEX A SECURITY (INFORMATIVE)	A-1
ANNEX B INFORMATIVE REFERENCES (INFORMATIVE)	B-1
ANNEX C GLOSSARY OF TERMS (INFORMATIVE)	C-1
 <u>Table</u>	
3-1 Coding Schemes	3-4

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Recommended Practice is to provide guidance to users in the choice of the coding scheme to be adopted for their space-to-Earth telemetry links. Given the increasing number of codes available and retained by CCSDS for the transmission of telemetry (TM), it is necessary to clearly define their domain of applicability.

1.2 SCOPE

This document presents recommendations regarding the usage of coding schemes described in references [1]-[2] in the various mission profiles that are encountered in space research, space operations, and Earth exploration.

Within this Recommended Practice it is assumed that at the sending end the Synchronization and Channel Coding sublayer

- accepts at a constant rate transfer frames of fixed length from the Data Link protocol sublayer;
- performs the encoding and synchronization functions selected for the mission; and
- delivers a continuous and contiguous stream of channel symbols to the Physical layer.

at the receiving end, the Synchronization and Channel Coding sublayer:

- accepts a continuous and contiguous stream of channel symbols from the Physical layer;
- performs the synchronization and decoding functions selected for the mission;

NOTE – The decoding functions include validation of frames to determine their quality with respect to the possible presence of undetected errors.

- delivers transfer frames to the Data Link protocol sublayer.

Profiles for Earth-to-space and Proximity links are out of scope and are not addressed in this document. Communication profiles for space-to-Earth links that are currently not supported by CCSDS, e.g., via data relay satellites, are not addressed in this document.

1.3 RATIONALE

Over the years, CCSDS has standardized a set of schemes for forward error correction coding based on state-of-the-art techniques. Indeed, since the needs of missions can be very different, a single type of code would not satisfy all the needs, and possible selections should be offered to the users.

For instance, deep space missions generally operate at low data rates and have, in general, rather mild bandwidth constraints; on the other hand, link performances are crucial and high coding gain is required.

Conversely, near-Earth missions, be they for space research, for space operations, or for Earth exploration, may operate at high or very high data rates on their telemetry link and require, in general, a compromise between coding gain and bandwidth expansion. Punctured convolutional codes were developed specifically for these profiles. Recently introduced LDPC codes appear as a possible alternative with better performances.

Some mission profiles involve highly dynamic links. One of the cases is represented by Earth exploration satellites operating on low Earth orbits. The increasing amount of data to dump to Earth during the short contacts with ground stations requires ever increasing data throughput; techniques based on Variable Coding and Modulation (VCM) are a means to account for the dynamics of the link geometry while keeping constant transmit power. Other causes of variations in the link conditions are the effects of atmosphere at high frequencies. There again techniques like VCM or Adaptive Coding and Modulation (ACM) are useful.

Other missions profiles, such as deep space inter-planetary missions, involve dynamic links as well, but with a slower pace of change. Such missions, operating in the 32 GHz band, may benefit from other forms of link adaptation.

In the process of designing a space communication system, the frequency band, among those made available by the ITU Radio Regulations, is chosen based on the mission characteristics. The frequency band, with its effect on the system design and expected performance, is one of the parameters driving the choice of the coding scheme: it defines the bandwidth availability, the channel physical environment (atmospheric losses, erasures, noise, etc.). Communication and coding profiles for each mission profile are suggested in this Recommended Practice according to the selected frequency bands.

Detailed technical analysis of the codes and modulations is outside the scope of this Recommended Practice and can be found in references [B1] and [B2].

1.4 REFERENCES

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommended Practice. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All documents are subject to revision, and users of this Recommended Practice are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the documents indicated below. The CCSDS Secretariat maintains a register of currently valid CCSDS Documents.

- [1] *TM Synchronization and Channel Coding*. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards, CCSDS 131.0-B-2. Blue Book. Issue 2. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, August 2011.

- [2] *Radio Frequency and Modulation Systems—Part 1: Earth Stations and Spacecraft*. Recommendation for Space Data System Standards, CCSDS 401.0-B-21. Blue Book. Issue 21. Washington, D.C.: CCSDS, July 2011.

NOTE — Informative references are provided in annex B.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17808:2014

2 OVERVIEW

2.1 GENERAL

This section provides an overview of the various types of missions profiles that can be encountered and for which this document provides, in section 3, guidance on the usage of codes on the telemetry links.

At the moment, three categories of mission profiles can be identified:

- Space Research, Near Earth;
- Space Research, Deep Space;
- Earth Exploration.

Each of these mission profiles may include one or several telemetry communication profiles.

2.2 SPACE RESEARCH NEAR EARTH MISSIONS

Orbits of space research near Earth missions range from Low Earth Orbit (LEO), which can be as low as 300 km of altitude, to Medium Earth Orbit (MEO), High Eccentric Orbit (HEO) and up to the Lagrange point L2 at 1.5 million km from the Earth.

Future missions with low or medium data rate needs will operate in the 2200-2290 MHz or 8450-8500 MHz bands. Given the limited available bandwidths per mission in these two bands, 6 MHz (see reference [B3], REC 24-1R1) at 2 GHz and 10 MHz (see reference [B3], REC 5-1R5 and reference [B2], subsection 3.1.2) at 8 GHz, a trade-off between link performance and bandwidth compactness is required, unless the data rates are very low and the link performances critical.

Missions requiring very high telemetry transmission rates are expected to move to the 25.5-27 GHz band, where much larger bandwidths can be assigned per mission. The band presents the drawback of featuring signal attenuations due to the atmospheric conditions; the range of attenuations may be quite high with rather abrupt variations. Traditional Constant Coding and Modulation (CCM) techniques, which do not allow adjusting the link characteristics (data rate, coding rate) to the channel conditions, may prove suboptimum for many mission profiles. Techniques like VCM or ACM are under consideration to mitigate the effects of atmosphere in this frequency band. The 25.5-27 GHz band is a good candidate for missions to the Moon, requiring high rate telemetry either from the Moon surface or from a Moon orbiter to the Earth station.

Therefore two sets of frequency bands are defined for the Space Research, Near Earth mission profile:

- a) 2200-2290 MHz and 8450-8500 MHz bands; and
- b) 25.5-27 GHz band.

2.3 SPACE RESEARCH DEEP SPACE MISSIONS

Deep space missions are characterized by far distances and thus by critical link performances, whereas the bandwidth occupancy is less of a constraint.

Missions with low telemetry data rates normally operate in the 2290-2300 MHz or the 8400-8450 MHz bands. New missions do not use the 2 GHz band any longer, but it is still occupied for legacy missions. These rather low frequency bands are also preferred for the Launch and Early Operation Phases (LEOPs), when the spacecraft cannot make use of a high gain antenna.

The alternative is the 31.8-32.3 GHz band, used by missions requiring high data rate on their telemetry link to the Earth. The higher frequency allows higher Effective Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP) for the same antenna size, as compared with the 2 or 8 GHz bands. The 32 GHz band is sensitive to atmospheric conditions but, given the geometry of the deep space links, the effects can, in general, be mitigated with Earth-station diversity.

Therefore two sets of frequency bands are defined for the Space Research, Deep Space mission profile:

- a) 2290-2300 MHz and 8400-8450 MHz bands; and
- b) 31.8-32.3 GHz band.

2.4 EARTH EXPLORATION MISSIONS

This mission profile addresses Earth exploration missions operating in general in LEO but possibly also in Geostationary Earth Orbit (GEO). Payload telemetry downlinks can be accommodated either in the 8025-8400 MHz band, for moderate to high payload telemetry data rates, or in the 25.5-27 GHz band for future very high data rate payload telemetry. Hence two communication profiles can be identified:

- spacecraft-to-Earth high rate telemetry;
- spacecraft-to-Earth very high rate telemetry.

High rate telemetry ranges typically from a few tens of Mb/s to up to 600 Mb/s whereas very high rate telemetry can go well beyond 2 Gb/s.

The 26 GHz band is to be considered for very high rate telemetry. Links from LEO to the Earth in this band experience not only the effects of the atmosphere but also those of a highly variable link geometry. Hence CCM cannot be used and VCM or ACM techniques are to be considered.

Therefore two sets of frequency bands are defined for the Earth Exploration mission profile:

- a) 8025-8400 MHz bands; and
- b) 25.5-27 GHz band.

3 TM CODING PROFILES

3.1 GENERAL

In this Recommended Practice a set of choices is given for each profile. The final code selection is eventually made according to the assessment of specific requirements. A few of them are mentioned in the following:

- required error rate performance (i.e., bit/frame error rate at decoder output): for telemetry transfer frame lengths specified in reference [1] the minimum acceptable Frame Error Rate (FER) normally ranges between $1\text{E-}04$ and $1\text{E-}06$, with lower values required in case compressed data are carried over the link;
- transmitter power available on board;
- available/selected modulation schemes;
- RF bandwidth limitations, as given in, e.g., subsections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 of reference [2];
- implementation cost and ground support;
- network of ground stations;
- etc.

In the choice the driving factors are the operational performances and the coding gain. The coding gain is maximized in order to minimize the power required by the on-board transmitter to achieve the specified link performances. The coding gain is obtained at the expense of an increase in channel symbol rate and occupied bandwidth.

Depending on the frequency band used and mission category, the radio frequency bandwidth occupied by the telemetry channel can be subject to limitations. Code rate together with the spectral efficiency of the modulation scheme determines the maximum information bit rate that meets the bandwidth occupancy constraint (reference [B2]).

3.2 CODING SCHEME SELECTION

NOTE – In order to guarantee the required link performance within the bandwidth occupancy constraints and with a signal-to-noise ratio at the receiver compatible with common on-board power budget and RF chain performance, the coding schemes are chosen according to the following recommendations.

3.2.1 For space research near-Earth missions operating their telemetry in the frequency bands 2200-2290 MHz or 8450-8500 MHz one of the following coding schemes is recommended:

- a) convolutional codes, rates $3/4$, $5/6$, or $7/8$ concatenated with Reed-Solomon (255, 223) (reference [1]);
- b) LDPC codes, rates $2/3$, $4/5$, or $7/8$ (reference [1]).

NOTE – The statistics of error bursts at the output from decoding of the inner code affect the choice of the R-S interleaving depth: an interleaving depth of at least 4 is preferred.

3.2.2 For space research deep space missions operating their telemetry in the frequency bands 2290-2300 MHz or 8400-8450 MHz one of the following coding schemes is recommended:

- a) Turbo codes, rates 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, or 1/6 (reference [1]);
- b) convolution codes, rate 1/2 concatenated with Reed-Solomon (255, 223) (reference [1]);
- c) LDPC codes, rates 1/2, 2/3, or 4/5.

NOTES

- 1 The statistics of error bursts at the output from decoding of the inner code affect the choice of the R-S interleaving depth: an interleaving depth of at least 4 is preferred.
- 2 Use of Turbo code rates 1/4 and 1/6 is limited to low data rates, i.e. compatible with the allowed bandwidth allocation.

3.2.3 For Earth exploration missions in LEO operating their telemetry in the frequency band 8025-8400 MHz, the following coding scheme is recommended:

4D-8PSK TCM (reference [2]) in association with Reed-Solomon (255, 239) (reference [1]).

NOTE – For Earth exploration satellites the CCSDS is still studying potential codes using ACM/VCM techniques and, until those studies are complete, the current CCSDS standard (4D-TCM 8PSK) remains the recommended solution.

3.2.4 For space research near-Earth missions operating their telemetry in the frequency band 25.5-27.0 GHz, one of the following coding schemes is recommended:

- a) convolution codes, rates 3/4, 5/6, or 7/8, concatenated with Reed-Solomon (255, 223) (reference [1]);
- b) LDPC codes, rates 2/3, 4/5, or 7/8 (reference [1]).

NOTE – The statistics of error bursts at the output from decoding of the inner code affect the choice of the R-S interleaving depth: an interleaving depth of at least 4 is preferred.

3.2.5 For Earth exploration missions in LEO operating their telemetry in the frequency band 25.5-27.0 GHz, no current CCSDS standard is recommended.

NOTE – For Earth exploration satellites the CCSDS is still studying potential codes and, until those studies are complete, no CCSDS standard is recommended.

3.2.6 For space research deep space missions operating their telemetry in the frequency band 31.8-32.3 GHz one of the following coding schemes is recommended:

- a) Turbo codes, rates $1/2$, $1/3$, $1/4$, or $1/6$;
- b) convolution codes, rate $1/2$ concatenated with Reed-Solomon (255, 223) (reference [1]);
- c) LDPC codes, rates $1/2$, $2/3$, or $4/5$ (reference [1]).

NOTES

- 1 The statistics of error bursts at the output from decoding of the inner code affect the choice of the R-S interleaving depth: an interleaving depth of at least 4 is preferred.
- 2 All the recommendations above are summarized in table 3-1 for easy reference.

Table 3-1: Coding Schemes

Frequency Band (MHz)	Space Research Near Earth	Space Research Deep Space	Earth Exploration
2 200-2 290 8 450-8 500	Conv 3/4 or 5/6 or 7/8 + R-S (255, 223) (reference [1]) or LDPC 2/3, 4/5 or 7/8 (reference [1])		
2 290-2 300 8 400-8 450		Turbo rate 1/2 or 1/3 or 1/4 or 1/6 (reference [1]) or Conv 1/2 + R-S (255, 223) (reference [1]) or LDPC 1/2 or 2/3 or 4/5 (reference [1])	
8025-8400			4D-TCM 8PSK (reference [2]) + RS (255,239) (reference [1]) (note)
25 500-27 000	Conv 3/4 or 5/6 or 7/8 + R-S (255, 223) or LDPC 2/3 or 4/5 or 7/8		(note)
31 800-32 300		Turbo rate 1/2 or 1/3 or 1/4 or 1/6 or Conv 1/2 + R-S (255, 223) or LDPC 1/2, 2/3 or 4/5	
NOTE – For Earth exploration satellites the CCSDS is still studying potential codes using ACM/VCM techniques and, until those studies are complete, the current CCSDS standard (4D-TCM 8PSK) remains the recommended solution.			